
An Organization-Wide Analysis of ERP and Information Systems Interrelationship for Logistics Support

Veranda Tilokavichai

Technopreneurship and Innovation Management Program, Graduate School
Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, 10330
t_varanya@yahoo.com

Peraphon Sophatsathit

Advanced Virtual and Intelligent Computing (AVIC) Center, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of
Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, 10330
speraphon@gmail.com

Abstract

Enterprise resource planning (ERP) system is a popular information technology application for enhancing competitive advantage, efficiency, and performance of modern organization's business process. Information systems, on the other hand, are applied to manage and monitor such a technology. This paper explores the existence of connection and interrelationship between ERP system and information systems based on the perceptions of 70 ERP system adopters. In addition, the study also examines correlation analysis to find what factors that have influence on satisfaction to adopt and use IT applications. The results describe current activities in usage and future implementation of IT applications, internal and external barriers and support. Some research implications and further exploration are also discussed.

Keywords: Enterprise Resource Planning System, Information Systems, Information Technology, Influence Factors.

1. Introduction

Information Technology (IT) applications offer many benefits to company and become an indispensable tool for organization. According to Pavlia (1997), IT applications serve as strategic tools for organization to obtain competitive advantages in the market. As information needs to integrate effectively into management and operational processes (Bruque and Moyano, 2007), fast, accurate and on-line access to data can be accomplished with the help of IT applications such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) system to manage routine business processes (Morabito et al., 2005). Su and Yang (2010) suggest that ERP, together with supply chain management (SCM), has a good potential to improve business performance in organization. As supply chain inherently requires efficient logistic information to improve its business process, the need for both internally and externally integrated information managed by ERP increases and exerts impact on the overall

integrated information for decision support to management (Rutner et al., 2003). Bayraktar et al. (2009) suggest that both SCM and information systems (IS) practices positively and significantly influence business operational performance.

Although many organizations recognize the potential value of information as a strategic resource (Karim and Hussein, 2008), usage and implementation of ERP system in companies have concentrate more on daily transactions recording than decision support benefits (Holsapple and Sena, 2005). A number of factors can affect IT investment decisions such as environmental context, strategic direction, and potential competitive advantages (Li and Richard Ye, 1999; Chang et al., 2008). Such investment renders a positive edge to business performance (Loukis et al., 2009). Therefore the influence factors to adoption of IT applications can be viewed by three perspectives, namely, perceived benefit, perceived support, and perceived barriers (Ngai et al., 2008). Thus, the research questions addressed in this study are as follows: (1) what are the influential factors to incorporate IT applications in business processes? (2) what are the barriers of implementing IT applications in business processes? and (3) how is the satisfaction level to adoption of IT applications?

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 provides some influential prior works to this study. Section 3 describes the objectives and research methodology. Data analysis and findings are elucidated in Section 4. Section 5 illustrates an actual industrial case study of IS support. Results interpretations and discussions are given in Section 5. Section 6 describes some final thoughts and future work.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses

Many researches (Palvia, 1997; Loukis et al., 2009) have indicated that IT applications play an important role in supporting business processes because they have a significant influence on the competence of organization. Penstock et al., (2008) expand model of logistics service quality (LSQ) by means of technology acceptance model (TAM) to assess utility and acceptance of logistics information technology. The information systems literature has developed a number of the theoretical frameworks for explaining the influence factors in individual's decision to adopt and use information technology. ERP system is an example application in the form of a software package that consolidates all functions of a company to arrive at efficient and effective IT solutions (Motwani et al., 2005). There are substantial connections between ERP and decision support, whose benefits perceived to have been realized by ERP adopters (Holsapple and Sena, 2005). In addition, the influence factors that have a positive impact on ERP implementation are change management, network relationships, and cultural readiness (Motwani, et al., 2005), while Bayraktar et al. (2009) assert that both SCM and IS have positively and significantly influences to performance of organizations.

Penstock et al. (2008) employed technology acceptance model (TAM) to assess logistics IT use and acceptance with respect to the relationship of perceived ease of use (PEOU) and perceived

usefulness (PU) of IT applications. The results




Figure 1: Research framework of the study.

provided equal relationships between PEOU and PU that are influence factors in individuals' decision to adopt and use IT applications. The connection between ERP and decision support enables ERP adopters to realize such a benefit (Holsapple and Sena, 2005). Operating managers need comprehensive information to manage the organization's operations and set strategy (Kaplan and Norton, 1996). These are evidences for the role played by IS in modern organizations. Therefore, the following research framework and hypotheses as shown in Figure 1 are established and elucidated in subsequent sections.

- *Ha1: The benefit factors have an effect on satisfaction of adopting IT applications.*
- *Ha2: The barrier factors have an effect on satisfaction of adopting IT applications.*
- *Ha3: The logistic support factors have an effect on satisfaction of adopting IT applications.*
- *Hb1: The barrier factors have an effect on satisfaction of adopting ERP systems.*
- *Hc1: Adopting ERP system has an effect on satisfaction of IS usage.*
- *Hd1: ERP and IS usage have an effect on logistic support factors.*

3. Research Objectives and Methodology

The purpose of this research is to investigate the current status of ERP in conjunction to logistics in Thailand and how IS can be incorporated to support such operations. The objectives are fourfold: (1) to analyze current and future prospect of organization-wide IT applications, logistics in particular, (2) to identify factors that influence the use of IT applications, (3) to assess the level of users' satisfaction, and (4) to find the relationship between ERP and IS usage. The research framework is depicted in Figure 1.

Based on the above issues so identified in the literature review, we derive a set of survey questionnaire, encompassing four topics, namely, (1) use of IT applications to support company's operations, (2) factors that influence the adoption of IT applications in both support side and barrier side, (3) benefits to adoption of IT applications, and (4) satisfaction level of adopting IT applications (Ngai et al., 2008). The perceived benefits of IT applications will also be taken into consideration. The questionnaire uses a 5-point Likert's scale with 1 being "Strongly Disagree" and 5 being "Strongly Agree" to adopting IT applications.

The questionnaire was pilot tested with students in executive management business

administration program. Subsequent questionnaire refinement was performed to arrive at appropriate final questionnaire. Thus, content validity of the measure is systematically accounted for in this study.

A preliminary study was conducted to test the viability of the questionnaire with subjects in executive management business administration program. From the preliminary 300 questionnaires, 132 were returned and used in the analysis. The overall response feedback was 44%. Table 1 summarizes the respondents' company profile. The respondents included operation personnel, managers, top executives, and owners. The majority of respondents clearly have considerable experience with IT applications and are well-qualified to inform this research. Table 2 shows the implementation of current IT systems to support organization-wide operations, i.e., already use IT, under planning to pursue, and no plan to use in near future. Although the last two categories seem to be indistinguishable, their share of IT activities was proportionally equal and significant enough to exert a notable impact on result analyses, hence the separation.

Table 1: Profile of respondent companies (132 totals).

| F | req | Percent |
|------------------------------|-----|---------|
| Industry categories | | |
| Non Service Industries: | | |
| Manufacturing for industry | 42 | 31.8 |
| Manufacturing for consumer | 12 | 9.1 |
| Retail | 6 | 4.5 |
| Wholesale | 1 | 0.8 |
| Subtotal | 61 | 46.2 |
| Service Industries: | | |
| Service for consumer | 19 | 14.4 |
| Service for industry | 22 | 16.7 |
| IT Service | 25 | 18.9 |
| Bank | 4 | 3.0 |
| Telecommunications | 1 | 0.8 |
| Subtotal | 71 | 53.8 |
| Operation (Yrs) | | |
| < 1 Yrs | 2 | 1.5 |
| 1 – 3 Yrs | 9 | 6.8 |
| 4 – 6 Yrs | 11 | 8.3 |
| 7 – 9 Yrs | 8 | 6.1 |
| > 10 Yrs | 102 | 77.3 |
| Number of employees | | |
| < 50 | 20 | 15.2 |
| 51 – 200 | 23 | 17.4 |
| 201 - 350 | 13 | 9.8 |
| > 350 | 76 | 57.6 |
| Revenue (Baht) | | |
| < 30,000,000 | 13 | 9.8 |
| 30,000,001 – 60,000,000 | 9 | 6.8 |
| 60,000,001 – 100,000,000 | 11 | 8.3 |
| 100,000,001 - 200,000,000 | 10 | 7.6 |
| > 200,000,001 | 89 | 67.4 |
| No. of years implementing IT | | |
| < 5 Yrs | 24 | 18.2 |
| 5-10 Yrs | 30 | 22.7 |

| | > 10 Yrs | 78 | 59.1 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----|------|
| No. of products | | | |
| | 1 | 22 | 16.7 |
| | 2 | 8 | 6 .1 |
| | 3 | 16 | 12.1 |
| | 4 | 86 | 65.2 |
| Duration of computer usage (days) | | | |
| | 2 | 1 | . 8 |
| | 3 | 2 | 1 .5 |
| | 4 | 1 | . 8 |
| | 5 | 1 | . 8 |
| | 7 | 127 | 96.2 |

Table 2: Current IT systems and future implementation.

| Systems | In use fre % | under planning fre % | no plan fre % |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Operational Systems | | | |
| Internet 129 | 97.7 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Emailing & messaging 121 | 91.7 | 4 | 3 |
| Intranet 1 | 14 86.4 | 9 | 6.8 |
| Purchasing 95 | 72 | 15 | 11.4 |
| Delivery sched plan 90 | 68.2 | 20 | 15.2 |
| Customer DBM | 89 67.4 | 22 | 16.7 |
| WH Mgmt | 80 60.6 | 8 | 6.1 |
| Customer order proc 80 | 60.6 | 23 | 17.4 |
| Inventory Mgmt 77 | 58.3 | 12 | 9.1 |
| ERP 70 | 53 | 29 | 22 |
| Barcode & RFID 67 | 50.8 | 19 | 14.4 |
| CRM 67 | 50.8 | 32 | 24.2 |
| Extranet 67 | 50.8 | 31 | 23.5 |
| EFT 61 | 46.2 | 23 | 17.4 |
| Supplier DBM | 53 40.2 | 30 | 22.7 |
| Product Catalogue 50 | 37.9 | 34 | 25.8 |
| EC 45 | 34.1 | 31 | 23.5 |
| Information Systems | | | |
| BI 52 | 39.4 | 41 | 31.1 |
| DM 51 | 38.6 | 41 | 31.1 |
| DSS 49 | 37.1 | 41 | 31.1 |

4. Data Analysis and Findings

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was applied to examine the underlying dimension that grouped the items of benefits, the barriers, and the support to adoption of IT applications. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to extract the factors loading with varimax rotation method for factor interpretation. For any factor to be retained, the eigenvalue should be greater than one (Hair et al., 1998). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy, which ranges between 0 and 1, was used to detect whether or not the data were properly factored. The KMO measure over the minimum acceptable value of 0.5 (Kaiser, 1974) satisfies the prerequisite of a good factor

analysis. Factor validation is accomplished using convention advocated by Nunnally (1967) on items having factor loadings of exceeding 0.4. Data reliability and validity are carried out by Cronbach's alpha that measures the internal consistency of multi-item scales as low as 0.6 (Nunnally, 1967) for each construct.

The results of EFA can be summarized as shown in Table 3. Correlation analysis from all factors, i.e., benefit, barrier, influence, and satisfaction using Pearson Correlation Coefficient, found that barrier to IT realization came mostly from insufficient resources, while changing had no correlation with satisfaction factor at the significant value of 0.05

Table 3: Results of EFA for all items.

| Factors Eigen | | Cronbach |
|---|--------|----------|
| Benefits items: | | |
| KMO= 0.906, Cumulative of Variance = 69.603% | 11.066 | 0.89 |
| Business supplier/partner relation benefits | 2.417 | 0.860 |
| Operational efficiency benefits | 1.126 | 0.878 |
| Organizational benefit | 1.086 | 0.795 |
| Internal process efficiency benefits | 1.010 | 0.719 |
| Human Resource benefits | | |
| Barrier items: | | |
| KMO= 0.865, Cumulative of Variance = 66.387% | 6.829 | 0.905 |
| Insufficient resources | 1.827 | 0.864 |
| Integration with other systems | 1.302 | 0.697 |
| Changing | | |
| Support items: | | |
| KMO= 0.907, Cumulative of Variance = 71.543% | 8.207 | 0.916 |
| Efficiency | 1.432 | 0.841 |
| Support | 1.092 | 0.852 |
| Competitive advantage | | |
| Satisfaction items: | | |
| KMO= 0.830, Cumulative of Variance = 72.063 % | 2.883 | 0.871 |
| Satisfaction | | |

Table 4: ERP and IS usage (132 totals).

| ERP | Groups of IS usage | | | Total | Percentage | | |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|-------|------------|----------------|---------|
| | In use | Under planning | No plan | | In use | Under planning | No plan |
| In use | 51 | 10 | 9 | 66 | 77.3 | 15.2 | 7.6 |
| Under planning | 10 | 14 | 5 | 26 | 38.5 | 53.8 | 7.7 |
| No plan | 5 | 2 | 26 | 40 | 22.5 | 12.5 | 65 |
| Total 70 | | 29 | 33 | 132 | 53 | 22 | 25 |

Table 5: IT application usage and industry categories (70 totals)

| IS | Industry Categories (% of 70) | Non-service | | | Service | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | | In-use | Under planning | No plan | In-use | Under planning | No plan |
| Business Intelligence | No. 20 % | 29 | 10 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 4 |
| DSS | No. 17 % | 24 | 11 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 8 |
| Data Mining | No. 17 % | | 14 | 2 | 21 | 8 | 8 |
| | % 24 | | 20 | 3 | 30 | 11 | 11 |

The predominant inferences are the existence of relationship between service and non-service segments. Table 4 depicts the relationship between ERP and IS usage from all respondents. The in-use group represents companies that use at least one IS application such as business intelligence (BI), decision support systems (DSS), or data mining (DM). Further investigation by industrial

categories as shown in Table 5 reveals that significant numbers of ERP usage are prevalent. This confirms that companies have adopted IT in most of their operational systems. Yet they still fully exploit the potential benefits due to a couple of key barriers, namely, insufficient executive support and integration with suppliers. The associations among these factors in accordance with the model framework hypotheses established earlier are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Summary of association.

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Association with ERP Usage | Result |
| Group of usage IS | Associated |
| Industry categories | No Associated |
| Barrier in Insufficient executive support | Associated |
| Integration with supplier's systems | Associated |
| Others Barriers | No Associated |

Table 7: Factors that yield different multiple comparative results of ERP and IS adoption.

| Comparison F | actors |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No plan to use ERP | In-use or under planning to use ERP |
| Use both ERP and IS | Not use both ERP and IS |
| Use both ERP and IS | Use ERP but not use IS |
| Use only ERP | Not use both ERP and IS |

Table 7 shows the significance of correlating factors between ERP and IS adoption. Only four implementation categories are compared since they exhibit significant interrelationship, while other combinations are irrelevant, e.g., use only IS-use only ERP, use only ERP-user only IS, etc. We employed one-way analysis of variance (One-Way ANOVA) and least significant difference (LSD) for multiple comparisons to unveil factors that yield different comparative results in each group.

5. Case Study

The case study is taken from a retail business in ceramic tile and sanitary ware. The business began 32 years ago from an old-fashioned retail shop to become the largest national ceramic chain. They have over the years evolved from manual operation to ERP then IS supported “vendor online” system that links all parties involved in the SCM via intranet to administer three vital information bases, namely, product master and product knowledge base, online purchasing, and vendor manage inventory. Information breakdowns are categorized at the general application level to preserve confidentiality of trade secrets and company’s anonymity. All IT and business applications are listed in Table 8.

Table 8: IT Application usage of XYZ Co., Ltd.

| Year | Application | Description |
|------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1997 | Oracle ERP | Start usage ERP |
| 1999 | Customized ERP | Customized Applications |
| 2001 | Intranet | Code name Xnet |
| 2002 | Product knowledge base | Manage product data in intranet |
| | BI | Oracle BI: Client server |
| 2003 | Vendor Online I | Online Purchasing |
| 2004 | Vendor Online II | Manage product data by vendors |
| | VMI Replenishment, | Request System, Min-Max |
| 2005 | BI | Oracle BI: Web based |
| 2007 | WMS | Mobile device, Handheld |
| 2007 | Delivery System | Trips and Routing |
| 2008 | GPS System | Track delivery system |
| | Member System | reward/redeem point |

| Year Application | Description |
|------------------|---|
| 2010 | SAP ERP BI |
| | Start usage SAP Business Object, Dashboard |




Figure 2: Graph of annual sales volume.

The above graph provides information on sales trends of XYZ Co., Ltd. It is apparent that such integrated IT applications have transformed many manual and stand-alone applications, ranging from logistics, inventory, and sales, to serve the growing customer's needs. The net results are consecutive annual sales increase for the past 10 years.

6. Discussion

Analysis of the model framework and hypotheses encompass profound implications on company's decision to adopting ERP and IS. In general, barrier factors have no relationship with users' satisfaction for skilled and knowledgeable IS users, thereby no additional needs for IS to support current ERP. On the other hand, barriers of integrating IS with other systems, in one example comparison, are the main hindrance for companies that have no plan to adopt ERP. One important inference drawn from all analyses is the interrelationship between ERP and IS usage. Companies that use both ERP and IS attained different perceived benefits of business supplier relations and better perceived competitive advantages over companies that have not use both ERP and IS, whose barrier came primarily from insufficient resources to adopting IS. The sizable 66% of 70 respondents that adopt ERP and IS underpins SCM as an integrated component of ERP which in turn becomes the core competency of the organization. However, implementing ERP system calls for considerable investment and risk that IT managers must handle with care, not to mention the barriers on adoption as resulted from this study. The effect could ripple down to logistic operation, lack of IS adoption, and organization performance (Chang et al., 2008).

7. Conclusion and Future Work

The contribution of this paper is an interrelationship analysis of ERP and IS in logistics support of many modern organizations, where pockets of IS usage spread over different departments. The missing link so identified is the interrelationship between ERP and IS to support various operations. We have investigated factors that influence users' satisfaction with IT applications by selecting ERP and IS. The results of association encompass BI, DSS, and DM. Moreover, recognition of barrier factors that affect company's decision on their adoption is also attained.

There are ample opportunities for future research exploration that involve the extension to SME segment. The interrelating factors between ERP and IS will warrant further examination on finer

grained components that fit their the low budgeted applications of IS for logistics support in SCM, wherein extensive qualitative observation on additional factors and proper quantitative assessment methods can be applied toward the adoption decision for many local SMEs.

References

- Bayraktar E., Demirbag M., Len ny Koh S.C., Tatoglu E., Zaim H. 20 09. A causal analysis of the impact of information systems and supply chain management practices on operational performance: Evidence from manufacturing SMEs in Turkey. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 122, 133-149.
- Bienstock, C. C., Royne, M. B., Sherrell, D. & Stafford, T. F. 2008. An expanded model of logistics service quality: Incorporating logistics information technology. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 113, 205-222.
- Bruque S. and Moyano J. 2007. Organisational determinants of information technology adoption and implementation in SMEs: The case of family and cooperative firms. *Technovation*, 27, 241-253.
- Chang, I. C ., Hwang, H.-G., Liaw, H.-C., Hung, M.-C., Chen, S.-L. & Yen, D. C. 200 8. A neural network evaluation model for ERP performance from SCM perspective to enhance enterprise competitive advantage. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 35, 1809-1816.
- Hair, J.F ., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., Black, W.C., 1998. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Holsapple, C. W. & Sena, M. P. 2005. ERP Plans and decision-support benefits. *Decision Support Systems*, 38, 575-590.
- Li, M. & Richard Ye, L. 19 99. Information technology and firm performance: Linking with environmental, strategic and managerial contexts. *Information & Management*, 35, 43-51.
- Loukis, E. N., Sapounas, I. A. & Milionis, A. E. 2009. The effect of hard and soft information and communication technologies investment on manufacturing business performance in Greece - A preliminary econometric study. *Telematics and Informatics*, 26, 193-210.
- Kaiser, H.F. 1974. An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika* 39, 31-36.
- Kaplan, R. S. & Norton, D. S. 1996. Using the scorecard as a strategic management system. *Harvard Business Review*, 75-85.
- Karim, N. S. A., & Hussein, R. (2008). Managers' perception of information management and the role of information and knowledge managers: The Malaysian perspectives. *International Journal of Information Management*, 28(2), 114-127.
- Morabito V., Pace S., Previtali P. 2005. ERP Marketing and Italian SMEs. *European Management Journal*, 23, 590-598.
- Motwani, J., Subramanian, R. & GOPalakrishna, P. 2005. Critical factors for successful ERP implementation: Exploratory findings from four case studies. *Computers in Industry*, 56, 529-544.
- Ngai, E W T., Lai, K H & Cheng, T C E. 2008. Logistics information systems: The Hong Kong experience. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 223-234.

Nunnally, J.C. 1967. *Psychometric Theory*. McGraw-Hill, N.Y.

Palvia, P. C. 1997. Developing a model of the global and strategic impact of information technology. *Information & Management*, 32, 229-244.

Rutner, S. M., Gibson, B. J. & Williams, S. R. 2003. The impacts of the integrated logistics systems on electronic commerce and enterprise resource planning systems. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 39, 83-93.

Su, Y.-F. & Yang, C. 2010. A structural equation model for analyzing the impact of ERP on SCM. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37, 456-469.